

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/689,140	10/689,140 10/17/2003		James E. Becvar	31695-1001	2788
5179	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PEACOCK		•	FLOOD, MICHELE C		
201 THIRD SUITE 1340	•	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBUQUE	RQUE, N	M 87102		1655	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/689,140	BECVAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michele Flood	1655	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			5
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MAILING OF T	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communi. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	•	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		its is
Disposit	ion of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>42-63</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>42-63</u> are subject to restriction and/or is a subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers	,		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a pority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	e
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/689,140

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's response in the reply received on May 19, 2006. However, it is noted that the previous Office action contains a typographical error. Therefore, another Election/ Restriction requirement is set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 42-51, drawn to a method for identifying the presence of a toxic substance in a sample using a luminescent biological agent, said method comprising the steps of: preparing a luminescent biological agent which is inhibited by a substance which is toxic to an organism; obtaining a sufficient volume of the sample suspected to contain toxic substances which are toxic to an organism to provide a test sample; separating the toxic substances using a separation phase matrix to provide separated toxic substances; collecting said separated toxic substances by elusion from the separation phase matrix into a plurality of serial aliquot volumes; and identifying the presence of said toxic substances harmful to an organism in said aliquot volumes by luminescent inhibition, classified in class 435, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 52-63, drawn to a method for chemically identifying a toxic substance in a sample using a luminescent biological agent, said method comprising the steps of: preparing a luminescent biological agent for use

Art Unit: 1655

in conjunction with chromatography paper; obtaining a sufficient volume of the sample suspected to contain toxic substances to provide a test sample; separating the toxic substances of the test sample on a separation phase matrix to provide a first set of serial aliquot volumes; exposing said first set of serial aliquot volumes to said luminescent biological agent by spotting said serial aliquot volumes in an array or in a linear fashion on a sheet of chromatography paper and spraying said sheet with a suspension of luminescent biological agent; identifying the presence of said toxic substances in said first set of serial aliquot volumes by zones of luminescent inhibition on said chromatography paper; obtaining a second volume of the sample to form a second test sample; separating the toxic substances of the second test sample on another separation phase matrix to provide a second set of serial aliquot volumes: and determining the chemical identity of a separated toxic substance observed at areas of luminescent inhibition with said first set of serial aliquot volumes by analyzing a corresponding inhibition region with said second set of serial aliquot volumes., classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.

Inventions I-II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the two different groups are directed to two different inventions. For instance the invention of

Application/Control Number: 10/689,140

Art Unit: 1655

Group I is directed to a method for identifying the presence the presence of a toxic substance in a sample, whereas the invention of Group II is directed to a method for chemically identifying a toxic substance in a sample.

The two inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other.

They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification).

The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,140

Art Unit: 1655

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is 571-272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

Page 6

MCF

July 31, 2006